Q



< About LSE



Kenneth Lee, Edward Miguel and Catherine Wolfram explain how this LSE project revealed surprising results.



Author Kenneth Lee

Executive Director of the Energy Policy Institute at the University of Chicago in India



Author Edward Miguel

Oxfam Professor in Environmental and Resource Economics, UC Berkeley



We use cookies on this site to understand how you use our content, and to give you the best browsing experience. To accept cookies, click continue. To find out more about cookies and change your preferences, visit our Cookie Policy.

In sub-Saharan Africa nearly 600 million people – or 70 per cent of the population – live without electricity. While access to energy is widely touted by African leaders and major international aid organisations as integral to reducing poverty in the region, there is little rigorous evidence on the impacts of investing in electricity infrastructure in rural areas.

It was with this in mind that the International Growth Centre (IGC) began its project to examine the social and economic impacts of connecting rural communities in Kenya to the national electricity grid. While one might expect to find that electricity provided improvements to at least some areas of peoples' lives, our analysis produced a surprising result: there were, in fact, no meaningful impacts.

66 In 2014 89% of Kenya's public facilities had been electrified 99

We use cookies on this site to understand how you use our content, and to give you the best browsing experience. To accept cookies, click continue. To find out more about cookies and change your preferences, visit our Cookie Policy.

The limits of rural electrification

country's public facilities had been electrified, the national household electrification rate was lagging far behind at 32 per cent.

We worked closely with REA to develop an experiment to discover what happens when rural households are connected to the electrical grid for the first time. We identified 150 clusters of "under grid" households – households that were located close to but not connected to a grid – in Western Kenya. These clusters were then randomly divided into two groups. In the first group, we worked closely with REA to connect the households to the electrical grid for free or at various discounts. In the second group, no changes were made.

66 The national household electrification rate was lagging far behind at 32% 99

After 18 months, we surveyed people from both groups and collected data on a range of economic and social outcomes identified before the study, including levels of household energy consumption, wealth, employment, health, education and political awareness. We even gave children basic tests to see if a popular notion – that electricity helps them perform better in school since they are able to study at night – is true. While one might expect to see some benefits, in our data we found that the households we connected to the electricity grid were, when assessed against these benchmarks, no better off than the households that remained unconnected.

REA had spent more than \$1,000 to connect each household, but in this case there was no evidence that supplying households with access to electricity had any social or economic benefit. Why is this?

66 Connected households ended up consuming very low levels of electricity - less than \$2 worth per month ??

We speculate that there may be various explanations for our findings. For example, connected households ended up consuming very low levels of electricity – less than \$2 worth per month – revealing that they were not buying electrical appliances such as refrigerators and televisions that may be needed for bigger social and economic benefits. This might also mean there is a bigger issue related to budget and credit constraints: ie, household ability to pay for electricity and buy appliances. The reliability of the electricity could be another factor – 19 per cent of transformers had at least one long-term blackout during the period of the study. It's also possible that the long-term household impacts cannot be observed in a study only 18 months long.

We use cookies on this site to understand how you use our content, and to give you the best browsing experience. To accept cookies, click continue. To find out more about cookies and change your preferences, visit our <u>Cookie Policy</u>.

The limits of rural electrification

including an IGC study in India that installed solar micro-grids in rural villages and found no social and economic impacts, aside from households spending less money on kerosene to light their homes.

Given the high cost of expanding electricity access to rural areas, as well as the limited impacts from providing access to electricity alone, policymakers need to compare rural electrification policies to investments in transportation, education, health, water, sanitation and other sectors, in order to prioritise major public investments for the highest societal returns.

Print or share





Connect magazine Read more features online

We use cookies on this site to understand how you use our content, and to give you the best browsing experience. To accept cookies, click continue. To find out more about cookies and change your preferences, visit our Cookie Policy.