

# SCIENCE CODEX

Home

Earth

Heavens

Body

Brain

Culture

Tech

## Call for better social science research transparency

posted by news on january 2, 2014 - 7:30pm



In the Friday (Jan. 3) edition of the journal *Science*, an interdisciplinary group is calling on scholars, funders, journal editors and reviewers to adopt more stringent and transparent standards to give social science research more credibility, substance and impact.

The authors, led by a University of California, Berkeley, economist, hope to change a set of practices that they contend has contributed to a distorted body of research that tends to exaggerate the effectiveness of programs that deal with important issues affecting millions of people including health, agriculture, education and environmental policy.



They cite as an example of flawed research a 2010 paper by Harvard University economists Carmen Reinhart and Kenneth Rogoff. It concluded that that when gross external debt hits 60 percent of the Gross Domestic Product, a country's annual growth declines 2 percent, and when debt exceeds 90 percent, growth is roughly cut in half. Budget hawks seized on its conclusions until other economists reviewing the work found coding errors, selective exclusion of available data, and unconventional weighting of summary statistics.

To prevent such errors from working their way into public policy making the 19 authors of the *Science* paper recommend key changes that include:

- Documenting and disclosing primary information about data collection and analysis.
- Preparing and registering pre-analysis plans to distinguish hypothesis testing from exploratory research. These written plans provide a step-by-step account of how a researcher will analyze data before they have seen the data.
- Archiving and sharing research materials, plans and data through open channels that enable independent researchers to test and extend reported results. The materials can be made public when research is completed.

### Popular Today:

- Study on pregnancy and alcohol fails to take psychological factors into account
- A novel look at how stories may change the brain
- Researchers find simple, cheap way to increase solar cell efficiency
- Study shows Where Alzheimer's starts and how it spreads
- University of East Anglia scientists make advance in cancer research



New [January 2014] rule in Ashburn, VA leaves drivers furious and shocked... [Read More »](#)

"Even if we believe that we already have enough self-correction in place, our research will be more credible if we institute these three approaches. We need to raise our game," said UC Berkeley economist Edward Miguel, the lead author of the *Science* article, "Promoting Transparency in Social Science Research."

### "Publish or Perish"



At a recent meeting organized by the Berkeley Institute for Transparency (BITSS) in the Social Sciences, researchers discussed how to improve their practices.

(Photo Credit: BITSS)

The authors largely blame a "publish or perish" reward structure for academics, saying the odds of getting a study paper published improve if a scholar can promise novel, "theoretically tidy" or statistically significant results rather than more nuanced, mixed or perplexing findings. They also blame scholarly journals and funders for lax oversight of mistakes and confusing information.

"The program suggested by our article would not fundamentally change the nature of social science," said David Laitin, a political science professor at Stanford University and a co-author of the article. "Rather, it would have small implications in the way we collect and report our data. It would also invite us to put more attention to the replication of well-known findings rather than investigations of new factors. But these small changes in our practices could have large effects in revising what we thought were well-established findings."

Miguel said the push toward greater social science research transparency also has been influenced by increasing use of better research designs, new "big data" software tools, growing interest by governments and advocacy groups for evidence-based policy making, and public insistence on greater transparency.

### Changes underway

A few institutions are already taking similar steps to those proposed in the *Science* article. The American Political Science Association adopted guidelines in 2012 making it an ethical obligation for researchers to back up their claims by making their data accessible and being clear about how they produce their results. Related measures have been taken by several psychological journals, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget, and the American Economic Association, with its design registry of randomized trials.

Meanwhile, the Center for Open Science has established an online collaboration tool called the Open Science Framework that enables research teams to easily register their hypotheses and pre-analysis plans, and to make public their data.

Several of the *Science* authors are members of the Berkeley Initiative for Transparency in the Social Sciences

### Similar Articles On This Topic:

- UBC researchers call for 'social offset' to tackle neglected tropical diseases
- President Obama calls for increased investment in science, including biomedical research
- Social science important for health-systems research
- U of M computer science researchers provide insight into how we understand social networking
- Summer training institute promotes agenda to improve social science research

HURRY TO YOUR  
MAZDA DEALER AND  
SAVE ON A NEW MAZDA.


SPECIAL OFFERS

A Mazda advertisement featuring two red cars, a Mazda CX-5 SUV and a Mazda3 sedan, parked on a dark surface. The Mazda logo is in the top left corner. The text "HURRY TO YOUR MAZDA DEALER AND SAVE ON A NEW MAZDA." is prominently displayed in the upper right. A red button with the text "SPECIAL OFFERS" is at the bottom.

- Platinum Ain't Cheap But 'Cheapium' Could Be
- Good Stories Don't Just Change Your Life, They Change Your Brain
- Progress In Gibberish Computing #2
- 100 Million Years: Oldest Evidence Of Reproduction In Flowering Plants

(BITSS), a network that launched a year ago at UC Berkeley to promote discussions and on transparency.

**"Discover and disseminate the truth"**



*This is the Center for Open Science logo.*

*(Photo Credit: Center for Open Science)*

BITSS members and *Science* co-authors Leif Nelson of UC Berkeley's Haas School of Business, and Joseph Simmons and Uri Simonsohn of the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania wrote a related 2011 paper, "False-positive psychology: Undisclosed flexibility in data collection and analysis allow presenting anything as significant."

"Our goal as scientists is not to publish as many articles as we can, but to discover and disseminate truth. Many of us—and this includes the three authors of this article—often lose sight of this goal, yielding to the pressure to do whatever is justifiable to compile a set of studies that we can publish," they wrote, adding that too often researchers convince themselves that the most publishable outcome must be the best.

The *Science* article authors maintain that rather than stifle creativity or create undue burdens for researchers, better transparency practices will improve the scientific integrity and impact of their work.

"An open science is a more credible science," said Brian Nosek, a professor of psychology at the University of Virginia, a founder of the Open Science Framework and another of the *Science* article co-authors.

## Discovered

- ▣ **One Mystery Of Earthquake Lights Phenomena Solved**

## Recent Articles:

- ▣ Severe mental illness tied to higher rates of substance use
- ▣ Loss of function of a single gene linked to diabetes in mice
- ▣ Reconstructing the New World monkey family tree
- ▣ Cleveland Clinic researchers create online colorectal cancer risk calculator
- ▣ Parkinson's patients utilization of deep brain stimulation treatment reduced in demographic groups

[more](#)

## Create Your Own Releases:



[CLICK HERE](#)

.....

FROM THE FOUNDER  
AND EDITOR  
OF SCIENCE 2.0

.....



*UC Berkeley economist Edward Miguel is the lead author of the Science piece calling for more transparency in social science research.*

*(Photo Credit: UC Berkeley Public Affairs)*

Source: **University of California - Berkeley**

## Post new comment

Your name: \*

E-mail: \*

The content of this field is kept private and will not be shown publicly.

Homepage:

Comment: \*

- Allowed HTML tags: `<em>` `<strong>`  
`<cite>``<p>``<br>``<i>``<b>``<center>``<ul>``<li>``<div>``<html5:figure>``<html5:figcaption>`
- Lines and paragraphs break automatically.

[More information about formatting options](#)

CAPTCHA

Sorry, we know you're not a spambot, but they're out there



Type the text

[Privacy & Terms](#)



Preview comment

Post comment

We allow third-party companies to serve ads and/or collect anonymous information. These companies may use non-personally identifiable information (browser type, time and date) in order to provide advertisements about goods and services likely to be of greater interest to you. These companies typically use a cookie or third party web beacon to collect this information. To learn more about this behavioral advertising practice or to opt-out of this type of advertising, please visit [networkadvertising.org](http://networkadvertising.org).